ECIA - Variance Request Form

Eldorado Community Improvement Association 1 La Hacienda Loop, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508

Property Address:					Unit-Block-Lot					
Owner Name:					Phone # 1:		1:			
Mailing Address:					Phone # 2:					
City, State Zip Code										
Standard Catanama of Vaniana a Dannart (Charle II and II a										
Structure / Category of Variance Request: (Check all applicable New Home Small Accessory Size / Solve Solve Size / Solve So					ns)	Color or Material				
Addition		Portal		Screen				Height		
Large Accessory		Fence / Wall		Setk	oack			Other		
Give specific Covenants or Guideline that may require a variance:										
Justification for Variance Request: Please refer to page 2 of this form for a list of factors considered by the Board to review variance requests and provide a detailed explanation of what specific factor(s) relate to										
your situation and why the Board should grant a variance. (Pictures and drawings are always helpful.)										
Signature of Owner	~•					Date:				
Dute.										
Board's Decision:					Date:					

ECIA – Variance Request Form

Eldorado Community Improvement Association 1 La Hacienda Loop, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508

Factors Considered by Board to Review Variance Requests

Factors which may be considered in *denying* a variance include, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Was the structure or condition created as a result of the owner's failure to comply with plans previously approved by the ECIA?
- 2. Did the owner create the structure or condition without having plans approved by the ECIA?
- 3. Is there a viable, reasonable alternative, which would comply with the Covenants and accomplish the same purpose?
- 4. Are there documented uncorrected violations existing at the same site?

Factors which may be considered in *granting* a variance include, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Was the structure or condition in compliance with Covenants in effect at the time it began?
- 2. Was the structure or condition created in accordance with plans, which made reasonably clear that a violation of the Covenants would be created and which, nevertheless, were approved by ECIA?
- 3. Did the CCR conduct a site inspection before and after the work was done and not issue a notice of violation of the Covenants?
- 4. Would denial of the variance be more detrimental to the community than granting it?
- 5. Are there characteristics and details of the site which will insure that the variance will not in any way be contrary to the purposes of the Covenants?
- 6. Are there conditions which, if attached to the variance, will insure that the purposes of the Covenants as stated above will be achieved?
- 7. Would denial of the variance result in severe financial hardship for the owner?
- 8. Would denial of the variance result in a severely negative impact on the quality of life of the owner?
- 9. Denial of the variance would result in greater visibility of the solar equipment to neighbors and from roadways and would be more detrimental to the community than granting it.
- 10. Denial of the variance would result in shading of the equipment from structures, vegetation or topography and impair the system's performance.